

# MOODY'S

## INVESTORS SERVICE

### New Issue: Moody's assigns Aaa to Monmouth County Impr. Auth., NJ's \$9.7M Ser. 2014A & 2014B GO-guaranteed bonds

---

Global Credit Research - 01 Aug 2014

#### Affirms Aaa on \$780M parity debt; outlook stable

MONMOUTH (COUNTY OF) NJ  
Counties  
NJ

#### Moody's Rating

ISSUE	RATING
Wastewater Treatment Facilities Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Federally Taxable Series 2014B	Aaa
<b>Sale Amount</b>	\$6,970,000
<b>Expected Sale Date</b>	08/20/14
<b>Rating Description</b>	General Obligation
Wastewater Treatment Facilities Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2014A	Aaa
<b>Sale Amount</b>	\$2,725,000
<b>Expected Sale Date</b>	08/20/14
<b>Rating Description</b>	General Obligation

#### Moody's Outlook STA

#### Opinion

NEW YORK, August 01, 2014 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aaa rating to the Monmouth County Improvement Authority, NJ's \$2.7 million Wastewater Treatment Facilities Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2014A and \$7 million taxable Series 2014B. The bonds are secured by a guaranty from Monmouth County, NJ. At this time, we have affirmed Monmouth County's Aaa rating, affecting approximately \$780 million of bonds secured either directly or through guaranty agreements by the county's General Obligation unlimited tax pledge. The outlook is stable.

Proceeds of the current issue will be used to advance refund the authority's 2005 bonds for estimated net present value savings of 3.8% of refunded par. The bonds were originally issued to fund capital work on Asbury Park, NJ's (Baa1) wastewater treatment plant.

#### SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The Aaa rating reflects the county's strong financial operations with healthy reserve levels, substantial and diverse tax base, and favorable debt position. The stable outlook reflects Moody's expectation that wealth levels will stay above state and national norms, reserve levels will remain healthy, and the county's debt burden will continue to be nominal.

#### STRENGTHS:

Large and diverse tax base

Favorable location near New York metropolitan region

Strong financial management and healthy reserves

## CHALLENGES:

Large and growing portfolio of guaranteed debt

Modestly negative financial trend

## DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

### MCIA BONDS SECURED BY COUNTY GUARANTY

The authority's 2014A and 2014B bonds are ultimately secured by general obligation a guaranty from Monmouth County.

Under the transaction's structure, the City of Asbury Park will make quarterly lease payments to the authority, with the lease payments sufficient to cover debt service and due roughly five weeks before the debt service dates. The trustee must notify the county of any shortfall roughly two weeks before the debt service dates. Pursuant to a guaranty ordinance, the county will pledge to cover any shortfalls in the lease payments by the debt service due date. The language in the guaranty ordinance defines the county's obligation as unconditional and irrevocable, and backed by the county's unlimited ad valorem taxing power. Therefore, we rate these bonds on parity with the county's direct GO bonds.

### FINANCIAL POSITION REMAINS STRONG DESPITE MODEST DECLINES

The county's financial operations remain healthy despite a modestly negative trend. The county has cut costs aggressively, with the overall budget shrinking four consecutive years, to \$481 million in 2014. During these four years, the county has kept the property tax levy (roughly 63% of budgeted revenues) flat.

The flat levy combined with costs from Hurricane Sandy and rising healthcare costs has pressured the county's financial position. Although the fund balance reported under New Jersey's statutory accounting rules is little-changed, based on our adjustments to make the fund balance more comparable with fund balances in other states the county's fund balance at the end of 2013 was about 17% of revenues, down from 21% of revenues in 2011.

This position is still consistent with top-rated entities in New Jersey, although further declines in reserves could apply downward pressure on the county's rating in future years.

The county appropriated \$43 million of fund balance as revenue in 2014, and based on recent history will not likely replenish this entire amount. The magnitude of further fund balance declines in 2014 and beyond will be an important factor in the county's rating going forward. Should the county continue to keep the property tax levy flat and appropriate more fund balance than it can replenish, the fund balance will likely decline to a level inconsistent with top-rated counties.

### LARGE, DIVERSE TAX BASE

The county's \$112 billion tax base is large, diverse, and affluent. Favorably located in central New Jersey (A1 negative), within commuting distance of New York City (Aa2 stable) and numerous other regional employment centers, the county has grown rapidly over the past half-century. The population of 629,672 as of 2012 is nearly triple the population in 1950.

The county is affluent. The median family income in the county is equal to 164% of the US median, well above the level of peers even at the highest rating category. The median home value of \$399,000 reflects the county's desirable location, its wealthy residents, and its strong socio-economic profile.

Hurricane Sandy damaged an estimated 17,000 properties and reduced the county's assessed valuation by approximately \$500 million (0.4%). The county actively reassessed affected properties in order to change tax rates based on accurate property values and to discourage tax appeals. The county also faces nearly 7,000 tax appeals from prior years, but reimbursements are paid by municipalities and the administration claims the volume of appeals has slowed.

### DEBT BURDEN MODEST DESPITE GUARANTEED DEBT PORTFOLIO

The county's direct debt burden is modest. The county's debt portfolio consists of \$357 million of direct General Obligation bonds, plus about \$80 million of GO-secured bonds. Additionally, the county has put its GO guaranty behind \$379 million of municipal financings through its improvement authority. Although none of the borrowers in these financings has ever defaulted, the guaranty exposure is large and would pressure the county's financial

position in the unlikely event that the guaranty were called on to a significant degree.

The direct debt burden, excluding the self-supporting debt of the county's reclamation utility and the MCIA loan portfolio, is equal to about 0.4% of full value.

The county participates in the New Jersey Police and Firemen's Retirement System and the New Jersey Public Employees' Retirement System, two multi-employer, defined benefit retirement plans sponsored by the State of New Jersey. The county's combined adjusted net pension liability, under Moody's methodology for adjusting reported pension data, has averaged a moderate 0.9 times operating revenues over the past three years. Moody's uses the adjusted net pension liability to improve comparability of reported pension liabilities. The adjustments are not intended to replace the county's reported liability information, but to improve comparability with other rated entities. We determined the county's share of liability for the state-run plans in proportion to its contributions to the plans.

#### Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that wealth levels will stay above state and national norms, reserve levels will remain healthy despite projected near-term declines; and the county's debt burden will remain nominal.

#### WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING - DOWN:

- Continuation of negative financial trend
- Calls on the county guaranty

#### KEY STATISTICS:

Full value: \$112 billion

Full value per capita: \$177,736

Median family income: 164%

Fund balance as % of revenues (adjusted for NJ accounting): 17%

5-year dollar change in fund balance as % of revenues: -2%

Cash balance as % of revenues: 22.4%

5-year dollar change in cash balance as % of revenues: -4.6%

Institutional framework (NJ counties): A

5-year average of operating revenues to operating expenditures: 1.00x

Debt to full value: 0.4%

Debt to revenues: 0.8x

Moody's adjusted three-year net pension liability to full value: 0.45%

Moody's adjusted three-year net pension liability to operating revenues: 0.93x

#### RATING METHODOLOGIES

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in January 2014. The additional methodology for this rating was Rating Transactions Based on the Credit Substitution Approach: Letter of Credit backed, Insured and Guaranteed Debts published in March 2013. Please see the Credit Policy page on [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) for a copy of these methodologies.

#### REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance

with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com).

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

#### **Analysts**

Dan Seymour  
Lead Analyst  
Public Finance Group  
Moody's Investors Service

Josellyn Yousef  
Additional Contact  
Public Finance Group  
Moody's Investors Service

#### **Contacts**

Journalists: (212) 553-0376  
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.  
250 Greenwich Street  
New York, NY 10007  
USA

**MOODY'S**  
INVESTORS SERVICE

© 2014 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

**CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATION") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE**

**VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.**

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors

and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.