Monmouth County WIOA Youth Program RFP Evaluation 2021 CC-11-2021

Program Name of:

Name /Type of Program:

Name of Reviewer:

| POINTS | GUIDANCE | |
|--------|-----------------------------|---|
| 5 | Excellent response | |
| 4 | More than adequate response | Please add comments on strengths and weakness of each evaluation |
| 3 | Adequate response | criteria. |
| 2 | Inadequate response | |
| 1 | Poor response | |
| 0 | No response | |

A. Administrative Capability

| ltem # | Evaluation Criteria | Evaluator's score – max score of 15 points for this section. Please add comments based on strengths and weakness of the proposal. |
|-----------|---|--|
| 1. | Does the Contractor demonstrate a complete understanding of the purpose and scope of the Youth Program, including what is expected of the vendor, the youth and the work sites (if applicable)? | |
| 2. | Does the Contractor demonstrate collaborative partnerships and coordination with organizations throughout the communities evidenced by Memorandums of Understanding? | |
| 3. | Does the Contractor have adequate administrative experience to operate the activity or services proposed? | |

Comments:

B. Experience and Past Performance

| Item # | Evaluation Criteria | Evaluator's score – max score of 10 points for this section. Please add comments based on strengths and weakness of the proposal. |
|--------|---|---|
| 4. | Does the proposed program offer a history of success working with youth in workforce development? | |
| 5. | Does the mission of the Contractor's organization appear appropriate to the type of services to be performed? | |

Comments

C. Program/Services

| Item # | Evaluation Criteria | Evaluator's score– max score of 75 points for this section. Please add comments based on strengths and weakness of the proposal. |
|--------|---|---|
| 6. | Does Contractor have a clear plan on how to engage, retain, and motivate youth? | |
| 7. | Does the Contractor have a clear plan on how youth will be recruited? | |
| 8. | Does the Contractor explain how the Individual Service Strategy will be used to identify and strengthen youth skills and goals and objectives? | |
| 9. | Does the proposed program offer specific activities that are interesting, likely to attract youth and are beneficial? | |
| 10. | Is the customer flow through completion of program feasible and well planned? | |
| 11. | Does the program offer an industry recognized credential (GED included to youth? | |
| 12. | Does the program offer resources that assist youth on a career pathway? | |
| 13. | Does program contain a work experience aspect? | |
| 14. | Does the proposed program offer clear staffing for the program? | |
| 15. | Does the proposed program offer clear and convenient hours of operation? | |

| 16. | Are the 14 mandatory WIOA program elements incorporated into the proposed program? |
|-----|---|
| 17. | Does the proposed program address the performance indicators as required by the federal guidelines? |
| 18. | Does the program work with collaborative partners to offer the services to youth? |
| 19. | Is the program offered in a convenient location? |
| 20. | Does the program offer multiple locations? |

Comments:

D. Budget/Budget Narrative

| Item # | Evaluation Criteria | Evaluator's score – max score of 25 points for this section. Please add comments based on strengths and weakness of the proposal |
|-----------|--|---|
| 21. | Is the budget complete and accurate? | |
| 22. | Does the Contractor have costs that are reasonable and justified for the services and activities proposed? | |
| 23. | Are additional resources/in-kind services made available and/or defray costs? | |
| 24. | Does the Contractor offer a year-round program? | |
| 25. | Is there appropriate leveraging of funds (in kind)? | |

Comments:

E. Overall Impression

| ltem # | Evaluation Criteria | Evaluator's score – max score of 5 points for this section. Please add comments based on strengths and weakness of the proposal |
|-----------|---|--|
| 26. | This proposal provided specific, more than adequate and thorough information in response to the narrative questions and responded with logic, consistency and accuracy. | |

Evaluation Conclusion (please be specific):

A. Would you recommend this proposal as written? _____Yes ____No

B. Accept this proposal with the following modifications of:

C. Would not accept this proposal and the reason why:

Evaluation Totals

| A. Administrative Capability | 15 Max Score | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| B. Experience and Past Performance | 10 Max Score | |
| C. Program Services | 75 Max Score | |
| D. Budget / Budget Narrative | 25 Max Score | |
| E. Overall Impression | 5 Max Score | |
| Total | | |

Total score not to exceed 130 overall points

Submitted by: _____Date:_____